Co-gelation synthesis of porous graphitic carbons with high surface area and their applications
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ABSTRACT

An easy co-gelation route has been developed to synthesize porous graphitic carbons with high surface areas by using teraethylorthosilicate (TEOS), furfuryl alcohol (FA), and metal nitrates as precursors. Using a one-pot co-gelation process, a polyfurfuryl alcohol–silica interpenetrating framework with metal ions uniformly dispersed was formed during the polymerization of FA and the hydrolysis of TEOS within an ethanol solution of the three precursors. This synthesis process is simple and time-saving in comparison with the conventional preparation methods. During the heat treatment, Fe7Co3 alloy nanoparticles were produced by carbothermal reduction and they then catalyzed the graphitization of the amorphous carbon. The graphitic carbons obtained have a high crystallinity as shown by X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy analysis. The degree of graphitization can be controlled by the varying the loading amount of catalyst. The porous texture of the carbons combines micropores and bimodal mesopores, mainly originating from the silica template formed with different sizes and the loose packing of the graphite sheets. The carbons have large surface areas (up to 909 m²/g) and exhibit excellent electrochemical performance.

1. Introduction

Porous carbon materials have been recently attracted much attention due to their potential use in many emergent applications, such as gas storage, gas separation, as catalytic support, as specific adsorbents and as electrodes in electrochemical double layer capacitors or Li-ion batteries [1]. Optimal utilization of carbon materials in these fields depends to a large extent on their physical properties, which can be conveniently adjusted to the specific requirements of each application [2–9]. For this reason, in recent years a number of synthesis strategies have been developed to prepare porous carbons with controlled physical characteristics, such as pore size, particle size, morphology or porosity [10,11]. For certain application, it is the crystallinity of the carbon framework that plays a critical role. Specifically, porous graphitic carbon shows advantages over amorphous carbon because of the well-developed crystalline structure, high electronic conductivity and thermal stability and satisfactory oxidation resistance at low temperature as well [12,13]. Thus, synthesis of porous graphitic carbon with a large and accessible surface area is of great interest in the practical applications.

Nanostructured graphitic carbon materials, including carbon nanotubes, carbon nanofibers and carbon nanospheres, are usually produced under harsh conditions, such as arc discharge, laser evaporation and thermal chemical vapor deposition [14–16]. However, most of the materials synthesized by these techniques exhibit low surface area and low yield with a high proportion of impurities [13]. Conventional activating
procedures, usually used to prepare activated carbons with high surface area, are not suitable for graphitic carbons, because these carbons are difficult to penetrate for gasifying agents, which presents the creation of pores. Heat treatment of porous carbon at the temperatures higher than 2000°C is able to form well-developed graphitic structure, but such reaction conditions are rather energy and capital-intensive, in addition, they lead to a significant decrease in the surface area and pore volume of the porous carbon [17].

In order to avoid the high temperatures involved, transition metals have been used to catalyze the graphitization of amorphous carbon at lower temperatures. Many groups have been working on metal-doped carbon aerogels for catalysis, adsorption and electrode materials. For example, Baumann’s group have prepared carbon aerogels doped with cobalt or nickel through sol–gel polymerization of formaldehyde with the potassium salt of dihydroxybenzoic acid, followed by ion exchange with M(NO₃)₂ (where M = Co²⁺ or Ni²⁺), and after the samples pyrolyzed at 1050°C, the growth of graphitic nanoribbons with different curvatures is observed [18,19]. Moreno-Castilla’s group prepared graphitized domains with three-dimensional stacking order by heating Cr-, Fe-, Co-, or Ni-doped carbon aerogels higher than 1000°C [20]. Hyeon’s group synthesized carbon nanocoils by heat treating composites made up of resorcinol–formaldehyde gel, silica, and transition-metal salts, followed by washing with NaOH solution and HNO₃ solution to remove silica and metal particles [21]. Recently, by using cobalt nanoparticles as the sacrificial template and cetyltrimethylammonium bromide as the surfactant and carbon source, Schuth’s group fabricated a graphitic hollow shell after pyrolysis at 850°C and following leaching of the cobalt nanoparticles by acid solution [22]. However, the above synthetic processes are rather time-consuming and in most cases cannot be applied for large-scale practical applications. Therefore, the exploration of simple and scalable synthetic procedure for porous graphitic carbon is of great interest.

It is well-known that the sol–gel technique is a useful method to prepare organic and inorganic materials [23–25]. In this paper, we developed an easy co-gelation sol–gel route for the synthesis of porous graphitic carbons by using three kinds of precursor containing FA, TEOS, and metal nitrates. FA and TEOS were simultaneously polymerized within an ethanol solution of FA, TEOS, and metal nitrates, which produced polyfurfuryl alcohol–silica interpenetrated organic-inorganic hybrid gels, accompanying the participation of metal salts. A mixture of iron and cobalt salts was decomposed and in situ carbonthermal reduced into alloy nanoparticles which catalyze the formation of the graphitic carbon. The TEOS was hydrolyzed to form interconnected silica network which acted as a hard template for porous carbons. The advantage of this method is that two processes of the formation of silica template and dispersion of catalyst were simultaneously carried out in one co-gelation process, which makes the synthesis very simple and eliminates the time-consuming synthesis of the silica template. The porous carbons have large surface areas and pore volumes. The degree of graphitization can be controlled by varying the loading amount of metal salts. In addition, such graphitic porous carbons were successfully applied to supercapacitors and exhibited excellent capacitive performance with high-rate response and low electronic resistance.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis

Porous graphitic carbon materials were prepared by co-gelation of FA, metal nitrates and TEOS at 40°C and 80°C, followed by a carbonization treatment at 900°C under inert gas and removing silica and catalyst in HF solution. In a typical preparation, 1 mmol metal nitrates (0.7 mmol Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and 0.3 mmol Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O) was dissolved in 1 ml ethanol, followed by mixing with 1 g furfuryl alcohol. After stirring for 15 min, 2 g TEOS was added into the mixture. After stirring for another 30 min, red solution was obtained in a beaker. It took 12 h at 40°C and 8 h at 80°C in a water bath for the thermopolymerization and sol–gel process. The xerogel was carbonized at 900°C for 2 h with a ramping rate of 2°C/min under nitrogen flow. Then the black xerogel composite was treated with 48% HF solution. The final product was denoted as PGC-1.

The same procedures were carried out for the preparation of samples PGC-1.5 and 2, except that the amounts of metal nitrates were 1.5 mmol and 2 mmol, keeping the molar ration of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O to 7:3, for PGC-1.5 and 2, respectively.

2.2. Characterization

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out using a Mettler Toledo TGA-SDTA851 analyzer (Switzerland) from 25°C to 1000°C in a air flow of 80 ml/min at a heating rate of 5°C/min. Wide-angle XRD patterns were collected on Bruker D8 Focus Powder X-ray diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation (40 kV, 40 mA). The energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectra were performed by using a field emission scanning electron microscopy (HTACHI S-4800). TEM and HRTEM images were taken on a FEI Tiacnai G2 electron microscope operated at 200 kV. For TEM measurements, the samples were prepared by dispersing the powder products as slurry in ethanol and drying on a holey carbon film on a Cu grid for measurements. N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms were measured with a Micromeritics 2020 analyzer at 77 K. Before measurements, the samples were degassed at 150°C for more than 5 h. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method was utilized to calculate the specific surface areas. The pore size distributions were derived from the desorption branches of the isotherms based on the Barrett–Joyner–Halanda (BJH) model. The total pore volume was estimated from the amount adsorbed at a relative pressure (P/Po) of 0.99.

2.3. Electrochemical measurement

The working electrode was prepared by mixing 80 wt.% active materials (PGC), 10 wt.% acetylene black, and 10 wt.% polytetrafluoroethylene with ethanol to form slurry, and spreading onto a nickel-foam (1 cm²). The mass load of active material on electrode was about 5 mg/cm². The electrochemical performance was characterized by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and...
electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS). The electrolyte was 6 mol L\(^{-1}\) KOH solutions. The measurement was carried out in a three-electrode cell, with nickel-foil counter electrode and Hg/HgO reference electrode. The data was acquired using CHI 660A electrochemical system. The CV experiments were conducted in the potential range of \(-0.8\) to \(0.2\) V vs. Hg/HgO with potential sweep rates from 5 mV/s to 200 mV/s. The ESI experiment was performed with a bias potential of 0 V vs. Hg/HgO, amplitude of 10 mV, and a frequency range from 100 kHz to 10 mHz.

### 3. Results and discussion

The overall synthesis for preparing porous graphitic carbon is schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. There are three steps in the preparation process including co-gelation, carbonization and HF etching. The photographs of products at different stages are shown in Fig. S1. iments was conducted in the potential range of 0 V vs. Hg/HgO with potential sweep rates from 5 mV/s to 200 mV/s. The ESI experiment was performed with a bias potential of 0 V vs. Hg/HgO, amplitude of 10 mV, and a frequency range from 100 kHz to 10 mHz.

The key step in the current synthetic procedure is the simultaneous polymerization of carbon precursor (furfuryl alcohol) and silica precursor (TEOS) to produce homogeneous organic–inorganic hybrid gels within an ethanol solution containing TEOS, FA, and metal nitrates. Usually, HCl solution is needed for the hydrolysis of TEOS and the polymerization. But in this synthesis strategy, the solution containing metal nitrates instead of HCl solution provides weak acid media, especially for the iron nitrate solution. With the ethanol evaporating and the solution contacting, the acidity gradually increased and the simultaneous polymerization was initiated. During the co-gelation sol–gel process, a phrase separation between FA and silica took place on nanometer scale due to their different reaction rates, the former of which was faster than the latter at the present condition. As a result, PFA and silica network were formed. However, reactions between silanol groups and alcohols or carbocations of PFA sequences occurred to form Si–O–C bonds at the same time, which facilitated the formation of PFA–silica interpenetrating organic–inorganic hybrid frameworks \[26–29\]. Porous silica and carbon (Fig. S2) could be obtained by treating the hybrid gel with calcination in air and carbonization in N\(_2\), respectively, which confirmed the above analysis. As an important component, metal salts participated in the whole co-gelation process and could interact with PFA and TEOS by forming organic polymer gel and inorganic silica gel, respectively. After carbonization in N\(_2\) atmosphere (step 2), the XRD patterns of three composites (Fig. S3) exhibit diffraction peaks of Fe\(_7\)Co\(_3\) alloy (JCPDS 48-1816) and graphite (JCPDS 41-1487). During the process of carbonization, Fe\(_7\)Co\(_3\) alloy nanoparticles were firstly produced by in situ carbon-thermal reduction of the mixed metal oxides and then catalyzed the amorphous carbon to form graphite according to a dissolution–precipitation mechanism \[30,31\]. From the TEM images of three composites (Fig. S4), it was clearly observed that Fe\(_7\)Co\(_3\) alloy nanoparticles were uniformly dispersed in the carbon/silica matrix and were still remained in the porous carbon after removing silica in NaOH solution, which indirectly illustrated the uniform dispersion of metal ions in the hybrid gel. The content of alloy relative to carbon were measured by the EDX analysis and listed in Table 1. In step 3, the final porous graphitic carbons were obtained after washing the silica framework and alloy catalyst in HF solution. The particle sizes of graphitic carbons ranged from several hundred nanometers to several micrometers (Fig. S1e).

XRD patterns of the PGC samples are shown in Fig. 2. They exhibit well-resolved XRD peaks at 26°, 43°, 54°, and 78°, which are assigned to the (0 0 2), (1 0), (0 0 4) and (1 1 0) diffractions of the graphite framework, respectively, according to the JCPDS Card Number 41-1487. A sharp (0 0 2) peak is associated with a high graphitic character as compared to a broad diffuse peak seen in amorphous carbon. With increasing the loading of catalyst, the (0 0 2) peak becomes sharper and narrower, indicating an increase in the degree of graphitization. The crystallite sizes of graphite measured perpendicularly to the basal plane (L\(_c\)) were calculated from the (0 0 2) reflections, using Scherrer formula, L\(_c\) = 0.89\(\lambda\)/Bcos(\(\theta\)), where \(\lambda\) is the wavelength of the X-ray (1.54 A), B is the full angular width at half max, and \(\theta\) is the Bragg angle. The monotonous increase in the values of L\(_c\) (Table 1) with increasing the amount of metal salts indicates progressive graphitization of the carbon. The graphitic carbons obtained by using different catalysts were also compared by the XRD patterns (Fig. S5). From the strength of diffraction peaks, it can be seen that Fe\(_7\)Co\(_3\) alloy exhibits best performance of catalysis than the single metal Fe, Co or other alloys at the same conditions, which indicates the ratio of ion to cobalt has effect on the activity of catalysis. Carbonization temperature is another factor which affects the degree of graphitization. As shown in Fig. S6, the peaks of graphite become sharper with increasing the carbonization temperature.

![Fig. 1 - Illustration of the synthesis for the PGCs obtained from FA, TEOS, and metal nitrates. (1) Co-gelation of three kinds of precursors through polymerization and hydrolysis; (2) carbonization of xerogel in nitrogen; (3) washing silica and catalyst with HF solution.](image-url)
The first order Raman spectra for PGC-1, 1.5 and 2 are shown in Fig. 3. There are two apparent peaks in the spectra for all three samples, corresponding to the D band at 1355 cm \(^{-1}\) and the G band at 1583 cm \(^{-1}\). The D band is often referred to as the "disorder" band and is commonly believed to be a Raman inactive mode that becomes active as the disorder in the system is increased, or likewise as the symmetry at or near a crystalline edge is reduced\[32,33\]. The G band is present in all sp\(^2\) hybridized carbon materials and arises from the \(E_{2g}\) vibrational mode. By determining the area under the peak for the D band and the G band, \(I_D/I_G\) (ratio of amorphous to graphitic carbon) values were estimated to be 2.1, 1.4, and 1.2 for PGC-1, 1.5 and 2, respectively. The values of \(I_D/I_G\) decreases with increasing the amount of metal salts, indicating the improving degree of graphitization. The relative levels of graphitization can be discerned by estimating the in-plane crystalline length, \(L_a = 4.4/(I_D/I_G)\) [34]. As the \(I_D/I_G\) ratio decreases, \(L_a\) increases from 2.1 nm to 3.7 nm for PGC samples, which indicates the growth in size of graphitic crystallites with increasing the amount of catalyst.

To obtain more detailed structural insight, the samples were investigated by TEM analysis (Fig. 4). It can be seen that foamily graphite structures with a disordered porosity made up of mesopores and micropores were produced. The curved graphitic sheets become more and more obvious with increasing catalyst amount, indicating the improvement of graphitization. At the same time, the pore sizes of void between graphite sheets gradually become large from PGC-1 to PGC-2. The fringes of the graphite crystallite were distinctly presented on all HRTEM images shown in Fig. 4d–f that were taken throughout the sequence of samples from PGC-1 to PGC-2. Layered ribbon-like graphitic structures are evident. The black lines in the layers are individual graphene planes. The significant amount of graphite ribbons in the carbon increase from PGC-1 to PGC-2, indicating the increase of graphitization, in accordance with the XRD results. Electron diffraction patterns of selected graphitized areas (inset of Fig. S7a–c) further reveal the crystallinity of three samples. The thickness of ribbons measured from TEM increases monotonically as 7.4 nm, 9.7 nm, and 10.8 nm, for PGC-1, 1.5 and 2, respectively, which is in good agreement with the \(L_a\) values estimated from XRD data. The distance between graphite layers (0 0 2) was measured to be \(\sim 0.34\) nm from HRTEM images (Fig. S7d–f) which is larger than the typical value of graphite, suggesting that the stacking of graphene layers has experienced some distortion (turbostratic structure) [18]. The graphitic carbon is mainly produced from the amorphous carbon which is in contact with the catalyst nanoparticles, so all the shape of graphitic ribbons exhibit cycle-like structures with different curvature.

Fig. 5 shows the \(N_2\) adsorption–desorption isotherms and the corresponding BJH pore size distribution of the as-prepared graphitic porous carbons, and the BET surface areas and the pore volumes of the samples are summarized in Table 1. Three samples have type IV isotherms with H1 hysteresis. The general shape of the \(N_2\) sorption isotherms indicates that the as-prepared carbon materials contain multimodal pores. The initial vertical part of the curve at low relative pressure reveals the presence of micropores. The adsorbed volume at low relative pressure gradually decrease from PGC-1 to PGC-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Catalyst content (%)</th>
<th>(L_c) (nm)</th>
<th>(L_a) (nm)</th>
<th>Surface area (m(^2)/g)</th>
<th>Pore volume (cm(^3)/g)</th>
<th>Pore size (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PGC-1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>2, 3.5, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGC-1.5</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>2, 3.7, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGC-2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>2, 3.9, 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(L_c\) is calculated from the (0 0 2) reflection of XRD patterns by using Scherrer formula.

\(L_a\) is calculated from the Raman spectra by using formula \(L_a = 4.4/(I_D/I_G)\).
2, indicating the micropore volume gradually decrease, while the size of hysteresis loops gradually becomes bigger, indicating the mesopore volumes gradually increase. The surface areas are 909 m²/g, 698 m²/g, and 593 m²/g, for PGC-1, 1.5, and 2, respectively, decreasing with the increase of graphitization. In contrast, the total pore volumes are almost not changed, suggesting the increase of pore size from PGC-1 to PGC-2. The corresponding pore size (Fig. 5b) can further illustrate the above results. There are three pore size distributions, the first of which is micropores (<2 nm), the second is mesopores centered at 4 nm and the last is mesopores with sizes varying as 8 nm, 10 nm, and 12 nm, for PGC-1, 1.5, and 2, respectively. The dissolution of silica framework and loose packing of graphite sheets resulted in such hierarchical porous structures, which will facilitate the mass diffusion during the practical applications. Obviously, the micropore volumes gradually decrease from PGC-1 to PGC-2, while the mesopore volumes gradually increase, which is in good agreement with the adsorption curves. Similar phenomenon was also observed in the samples prepared by carbonizing the precursor xerogel of PGC-1.5 at different temperatures. Although they had same initial PFA–silica interpenetrated framework, the pore structures of carbons still varied regularly with the degree of graphitization (Fig. S8 and Table S1). Such changes of pore structures with the difference of graphitization can be explained by the process of graphitization as illustrated in Fig. 6. When irregular amorphous carbon was converted into regular graphitic carbon within the silica template, the volume of carbon shrank and large void was produced, which could be observed in the TEM images of Fe₇Co₃/carbon/silica composites before HF treatment (Fig. S9). Before graphitization, silica template introduced micropores in amorphous
carbon, but after graphitization and removing template, micropores and void coalesced and pore sizes became large, which lead to the decrease of micropores and the increase of mesopores.

It is well-known that graphitized carbons with a higher graphitization degree are more stable against air oxidation. To study the resistance of the PGC samples, TGA analysis were performed under air to examine the oxidation behavior of these porous carbons. As seen in Fig. 7, PGC-1 is more rapidly oxidized compared to the PGC-2, that is, the lower graphitized carbon is easily oxidized with oxygen. The oxidation temperature for PGC-2 increases 50 °C compared to the PGC-1. Graphitic carbon prepared with high loading of catalyst shows better oxidation resistance than the one prepared with low loading, which is due to the different graphitization degree of these carbons [35].

CV measurements were conducted to test the supercapacitor performances of the PGC samples. Fig. 8 presents the capacitive behaviors of the samples and their CV profiles were investigated at different scan rate from 5 mV/s to 200 mV/s between −0.8 V and 0.2 V in 6 M KOH aqueous electrolyte by using a three-electrode cell. It can be observed that all the carbons present a rectangular voltammogram shape at the whole voltage window, indicating of excellent candidates as electrode materials for electrochemical double layer capacitor. As the scan rate increased, the CV curves became gradually depressed but still maintained a less rectangular shape, which means that the PGC samples would be suitable for quick charge–discharge operations [36,37]. In comparison, at low scan rate the CV curve shapes of the three samples were typically rectangular, but at high scan rate the curve of PGC-1 showed a slow change at the switching potential due to its much smaller pore size and the low utilization of surface area.

The capacitance of the porous carbon materials can be estimated by the equation of 
\[ C_g = \frac{i}{m} \frac{dV}{dv}, \]
where \( C_g \) is the differential capacitance, \( i \) is the current in the cyclic voltammogram, and \( m \) is the mass of the active materials [38–40]. The capacitance of different scan rates calculated from the middle voltage of −0.3 V in Fig. 8 are listed in Table 2. The calculated capacitances of three samples are 118 F/g, 90 F/g, and 77 F/g, for PGC-1, 1.5 and 2, respectively. It is superior to graphitized CMK-3 materials derived from anthracene, for example, which display values of 20–60 F/g [41,42]. The relationship between the retained capacitance ratio and volt-
Average scan rate is plotted in Fig. 8d. Obviously, the high retained ratios were obtained for three samples and increase monotonically as 80%, 84%, and 86%, for PGC-1, 1.5 and 2, respectively. These results highlight the suitability of PGC samples for high-rate operation. It can be seen that micropores increase the capacitance as for PGC-1, while the mesopores favor the fast ionic motion and increase the ion response. Therefore, a well-balanced micro/mesoporosity is helpful to improve the performance of supercapacitors.

The EIS analysis has been recognized as one of the principal method for examining the fundamental behavior of electrode materials for a supercapacitor. As shown in Fig. 9, the impedance plots exhibit two distinct parts including a semicircle in the high-frequency region and a sloped line in the low-frequency region. The resistance of a supercapacitor, namely equivalent series resistance or ESR, consists of electronic contributions and ionic contributions [43]. It can be seen that the ESR of three samples are all low values and decrease monotonically as 0.25 $\Omega$, 0.17 $\Omega$, and 0.11 $\Omega$, for PGC-1, 1.5 and 2, respectively, which suggests the electronic resistance and ionic diffusion resistance decrease from PGC-1 to 2, in accordance with the CV results. The ESR results further reveal that the graphitization improved electronic conductivity and large pore size increased the diffusion efficiency. In addition, at the low-frequency region, the slope of PGC-2 is
The porosity of the carbons allows for the formation of micropores and bimodal mesopores, mainly originating from the silica template formed with different sizes and the loose packing of graphite sheets. The porous graphitic carbons have large surface areas (up to 909 m²/g) and pore volumes (up to 0.78 cm³/g). The electrochemical properties as an electrode material for supercapacitor applications were investigated in aqueous electrolyte. They showed rectangular-shaped cyclic voltammetry curves with large capacitances (up to 118 F/g) over a wide range of scan rates even as high as 200 mV/s, and exhibited fast ion response with high capacitance retention ratio (up to 86%). The excellent electrochemical performance can be attributed to the hierarchical porous structures which improve the electronic conductivity and ionic diffusion efficiency of the carbon materials.
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